

## 1. BACKGROUND AND PROPOSALS

The review provides an update of the CYPP performance framework.
2. RISKS

None

## 3. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

None

## 4. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

None
5. CLIENT GROUP

All relevant CYP within the City

## 6. IMPACT ON EQUALITIES ISSUES

N/A

## 7. OUTCOMES AND PRIORITIES AFFECTED

All

## 8. CONTACT DETAILS

Andy Shone
Performance Review Officer
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Andy.shone@nottinghamcity.gov.uk 01158764843

Report Reference: CPB0213

# Children's Partnership Board Q2 2013/14 Performance Report 

Report Produced for: CPB

Period Reported to: 30th September 2013

Report Produced by: Commissioning \& Insight

Author: Andy Shone (ext. 64843)

Safer, cleaner, ambitious

## Guide to the report

The report is ordered by each CYPP Strategic Objective ( 1 to 5 ) then each Operational Objective ( 1 to 15 ). We continue to identify new and meaningful indicators to report

Within each Objective, performance indicators are ordered by reference number and identifies who the responsible officer/agency is.

Where applicable, the report shows data against performance indicators starting with the 2011/12 outturn figure through to the current 2013/14 Q2 Year To Date figure.

The latest available data are shown together with the previous two year's outturn figures.

| Description | Good Performance | Outturn 2011/12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Outturn } \\ & \text { 2012/13 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1 YTD } \\ & \text { 2013/14 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q2 YTD } \\ & \text { 2013/14 } \end{aligned}$ | Target 2013/14 | Statistical Neighbour | England | Better than / Equal to Previous Year | Target Met / On Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Strategic Objective - Safeguarding and Early Intervention Young people and families will benefit from early and effective support and protection to empower them to overcome difficulties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Operational Objective - Stronger Safeguarding Improving safeguarding across all agencies, to keep children and young people safe from physical, emotional and sexual abuse, neglect, and accidental injury |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NI 032 - Repeat incidents of domestic violence (MARAC) (Crime \& Drugs Partnership) | Lower percentages | 18.3\% | 13.2\% | 16.1\% | 17.3\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| CIN-8 - Initial assessments for children's social care carried out within 10 working days of referral (Tracey Nurse) | Higher percentages | 95.9\% | 91.0\% | 85.4\% | 84.0\% | 80.0\% | 78.4\% | 77.2\% | $x$ | $\checkmark$ |
| New Measure <br> CIN-11 - \% of Core Assessments in Timescale (35 days) (Tracy Nurse) | Higher percentages | ~ | 94.0\% | 90.5\% | 89.2\% | To monitor and review | 74.9\% | 75.0\% | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| CP-8 - Child protection plans lasting 2 years or more (Anne Partington) | Lower percentages | 7.7\% | 4.9\% | 5.4\% | 4.9\% | 6.5\% | 6.3\% | 6.0\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| New measure CP-7 - The percentage of children becoming the subject of Child Protection Plan for a second or subsequent time within two years of the previous plans ending (Anne Partington) | Lower percentages | ~ | ~ | 6.5\% | 8.8\% | 8.0\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $x$ |
| CP-10 - Child protection cases which were reviewed within required timescales (Anne Partington) | Higher percentages | 100\% | 99.4\% | 99.4\% | 98.8\% | 100\% | 94.8\% | 97.1\% | $x$ | $x$ |
| CIN-6 - Referrals to children's social care going on to initial assessment (Tracey Nurse) | Not too high or low percentages | 65.6\% | 77.4\% | 81.1\% | 86.9\% | To monitor and review | 67.0\% | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| CIN-13 - Number of Section 47 Enquiries | ~ | 806 | 988 | 258 | 417 | 945 | 1053 | ~ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| (per 10,000 per annum) <br> (Tracey Nurse) | Lower numbers | (129) | (158) | (164) | (132) | (150) | (128) | (101) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| CP-4 - <br> Number of children subject to a | Lower numbers YTD | 296 | 440 | 428 | 398 | 400 | 414 | ~ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| (per 10,000) <br> (Anne Partington) | (Per 10,000) | (47) | (70) | (68) | (63) | (64) | (50) | ~ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| CIN-2 - Number of Referrals | Lower numbers YTD | 5318 | 4261 | 1072 | 2291 | 4729 | 6347 | ~ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ |
| (Tracey Nurse) | (Per 10,000) | (851) | (682) | (681) | (727) | (750) | (774) | (557) | $x$ | $\checkmark$ |
| New measure <br> CIN-4 - The percentage of Referrals that are made within 12 months of a child previously being discharged from Children's Social Care <br> (Tracey Nurse) | Lower percentages | ~ | ~ | 11.3\% | 16.1\% | 15.0\% | ~ | ~ | $\sim$ | $x$ |
| All current Child Protection Activities - <br> (No. of offenders where a child who is living with/has contact with the offender is subject to a Child Protection Plan) (Probation) | Higher numbers <br> No new data available until Nov 2013 onwards | 136 | N/A | N/A | N/A | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | ~ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| All current Risk to Children Activities - <br> (No. of offenders who present a current risk to children.) (Probation) | Higher numbers <br> No new data available until Nov 2013 onwards | 482 | N/A | N/A | N/A | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | ~ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| Number of Families with Children in Temporary Accommodation (Housing) | Lower numbers | 53 | 60 | 52 | 56 | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | ~ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| Number of Children in Temporary Accommodation <br> (Housing) | Lower numbers | 107 | 85 | 83 | 96 | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $x$ | $\sim$ |

## 2. Operational Objective - Shifting resources to early intervention and prevention

Achieving a growing shift of mainstream resources towards early intervention and prevention to ensure that families in challenging circumstances are identified early

| Number of CAFs initiated (per 10,000) (Viv McCrossen) | Higher numbers (cumulative totals) | 1071 | 801 | 215 | 369 | To better the previous year's outturn | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (per 10,000 0-17 year olds) | (192) | (127) | (34) | (59) |  | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |


| Description | Good Performance | Outturn 2011/12 | Outturn 2012/13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1 YTD } \\ & \text { 2013/14 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q2 YTD } \\ & \text { 2013/14 } \end{aligned}$ | Target 2013/14 | Statistical Neighbour | England | Better than / Equal to Previous Year | Target Met / On Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. Operational Objective - Reducing infant mortality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NI 053a - Percentage of infants being breastfed at 6-8 weeks (breastfeeding prevalence) (Health - Deborah Hooton) | Higher percentages | 46.2\% | 45.5\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | 43.0\% | $\sim$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.2 \% \\ (2012 / 13) \end{gathered}$ | $x$ | $\checkmark$ |
| NI 053b - <br> Percentage of infants for whom breastfeeding status is recorded (breastfeeding coverage) <br> (Health - Deborah Hooton) | Higher percentages | 99.4\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | ~ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NI 126 - Early access for women to maternity services (Health - Deborah Hooton) | Higher percentages | 88.5\% | $\sim$ | 88.0\% | $\sim$ | 90.0\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Infant mortality per 1000 live births <br> (Health - Caroline Hird) | Lower numbers (3 year average) | $\begin{gathered} 5.6 \\ (08-10) \end{gathered}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | Available <br> May 2014 | $\sim$ | 4.3 (09-11) East Midlands | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ (09-11) \end{gathered}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| Low birth weight (< 2500 grams) <br> (Health - Caroline Hird) | Lower percentages | $\begin{aligned} & 9.1 \% \\ & (2010) \end{aligned}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | Available <br> May 2015 | $\sim$ | $7.7 \%$ $(09-11)$ East Midlands | $\begin{aligned} & 7.4 \% \\ & (2011) \end{aligned}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| ```Percentage of children immunised against Diptheris, Tetanus, Pertussis, Polio \& HIB by 1st birthday (Health - Carrie Jordan)``` | Higher percentages | 92.4\% | 93.4\% | 93.1\% | $\sim$ | 95.0\% | ~ | $\begin{gathered} 94.7 \% \\ (2011 / 12) \end{gathered}$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| Percentage of children vaccinated against MMR by their 2nd birthday <br> (Health - Carrie Jordan) | Higher percentages | 88.8\% | 89.9\% | 92.2\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\begin{gathered} 91.2 \% \\ (2011 / 12) \end{gathered}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| Percentage of children vaccinated against MMR by their 5th birthday (Health - Carrie Jordan) | Higher percentages | 82.5\% | 93.0\% | 92.7\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\begin{gathered} 92.9 \% \\ (2011 / 12) \end{gathered}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| Percentage of children who have had their MMR booster by their 5th birthday (Health - Carrie Jordan) | Higher percentages | 82.5\% | 83.8\% | 86.5\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\begin{gathered} 86.0 \% \\ (2011 / 12) \end{gathered}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| 2. Strategic Objective - Strong families Young people and families will benefit from early and effective support and protection to empower them to overcome difficulties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Operational Objective - Improving parenting support Improving support for parents and carers, particularly younger ones |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Operational Objective - Supporting children with learning difficulties and disabilities Providing better co-ordinated care for children and young people with learning difficulties and disabilities, emotional and behavioural difficulties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NI 103a - Special Educational Needs - statements issued within 26 weeks: Percentage of final statements of special education need issued within 26 weeks excluding exception cases as a proportion of all such statements issued in the year (Mirth Parker) | Higher percentages | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 94.0\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| NI 103b - Special Educational Needs - statements issued within 26 weeks: Percentage of final statements of special education need issued within 26 weeks as a proportion of all such statements issued in the year (Mirth Parker) | Higher percentages | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 77.0\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| CS141a - The percentage of Key Stage 4 pupils who have access to full time alternative education provision (Yr $10=24 \mathrm{Hrs}+$; Yr 11 $=25 \mathrm{Hrs}+$ ) <br> (Mirth Parker) | Higher percentages | 98.0\% | 87.0\% | 80.0\% | $\sim$ | 100\% | ~ | $\sim$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| CS141b - The percentage of Key Stage 3 pupils who have access to full time alternative education provision (KS3 = 24 Hrs+) (Mirth Parker) | Higher percentages | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| CS141c - The percentage of Key Stage 2 pupils who have access to full time alternative education provision (KS2 =23.5 Hrs+) (Mirth Parker) | Higher percentages | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Number of Direct Payments <br> (Disabled Children) <br> (Viv McCrossen) | Higher numbers (cumulative totals) | 144 | 163 | 156 | 172 | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| Initial Assessments to Disabled Children's teams (Viv McCrossen) | Higher percentages | 94.1\% | 80.7\% | 76.0\% | 80.6\% | ~ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| Core Assessments to Disabled Children's teams (Viv McCrossen) | Higher percentages | 96.1\% | 81.9\% | 85.2\% | 91.3\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| Number of Referrals to MALT CAMHS <br> (Viv McCrossen) | Higher numbers (cumulative totals) | 1372 | 1361 | 408 | 783 | Higher than previous year | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |


| Description | Good Performance | Outturn <br> $2011 / 12$ | Outturn <br> $2012 / 13$ | Q1 YTD <br> $2013 / 14$ | Q2 YTD <br> $2013 / 14$ | Target <br> $2013 / 14$ | Statistical <br> Neighbour | Better than/ <br> England <br> Equal to <br> Previous Year | Target Met/ <br> On Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## 6. Operational Objective - Improving corporate parenting <br> Improving the quality of life and outcomes for children living in care and preparing for independent living

| CC-8 - Stability of placements of LAC: number of moves (Rolling 12 months) (Joy Chambers) | Higher percentages | 11.5\% | 11.2\% | 12.8\% | 14.7\% | 10.0\% | 11.2\% | ~ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CC-9 - Stability of placements of LAC: length of placement (Joy Chambers) | Higher percentages | 73.1\% | 68.0\% | 65.3\% | 62.8\% | 70.0\% | 65.4\% | $\sim$ | X | $x$ |
| New measure CC-10 - The percentage of CiC reviewed within the appropriate timescale (Anne Partington) | Higher percentages | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | 97.9\% | 98.1\% | 97.0\% | 86.0\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ |
| NI 099 - Children in care reaching level 4 in English at KS2 (Mirth Parker) | Higher percentages | 36.0\% | 55.0\% | $\sim$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2012/13 } \\ & \text { available Q3 } \end{aligned}$ | ~ | $\begin{gathered} 57.3 \% \\ (2011 / 12-\text { LAIT }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.0 \% \\ \text { (2011/12-SFR) } \end{gathered}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NI 100 - Children in care reaching level 4 in Maths at KS2 (Mirth Parker) | Higher percentages | 50.0\% | 45.0\% | $\sim$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2012/13 } \\ \text { available Q3 } \end{gathered}$ | ~ | $\begin{gathered} 55.3 \% \\ \text { (2011/12-LAIT) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.0 \% \\ (2011 / 12-\text { SFR }) \end{gathered}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NI 101 - Children in care achieving $5 \mathrm{~A}^{*}$-C GCSEs (or equivalent) at KS4 (including English and Maths) (Mirth Parker) | Higher percentages | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | ~ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2012/13 } \\ \text { available Q3 } \end{gathered}$ | ~ | $\begin{gathered} 18.4 \% \\ (2011 / 12-\text { LAIT }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.6 \% \\ \text { (2011/12-SFR) } \end{gathered}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| New measure CL-1 - The percentage of care leavers in suitable accommodation at 19 years (Paulette Thompson-Omenka) | Higher percentages | $\sim$ | ~ | 66.7\% | 66.7\% | 95.0\% | 88.8\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $x$ |
| New measure CL-4 - The percentage of care leavers in employment, education or training at 19 years (Paulette Thompson-Omenka) | Higher percentages | $\sim$ | ~ | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | As national average | 58.0\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| CC-1 - Number of looked after children | Lower numbers | 541 | 561 | 587 | 578 | 524 | 743 | ~ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { (per 10,000) } \\ & \text { (Paulette Thompson-Omenka) }\end{aligned}\right.$ | (Per 10,000) | (87) | (89) | (93) | (92) | (83) | (91) | (59) | $x$ | $x$ |
| CC-25 - Percentage of Looked After Children with a completed Personal Education Plan (Paulette Thompson-Omenka) | Higher percentages | 98.0\% | 92.0\% | 95.0\% | 95.0\% | 98.0\% | ~ | ~ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CC-12 - The percentage of CiC } \\ & \text { with an up-to-date health } \\ & \text { assessment } \\ & \text { (Paulette Thompson-Omenka) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Higher percentages | 77.6\% | 79.6\% | 71.5\% | 71.0\% | 92.0\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| CC-13 - The percentage of CiC with up-to-date dental checks (Paulette Thonmpson-Omenka) | Higher percentages | 82.7\% | 82.7\% | 81.7\% | 73.1\% | 92.0\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| Percentage of LAC NEET (Michelle Wright) | Lower percentages | 25.3\% | 11.7\% | 18.5\% | 22.2\% | ~ | $\sim$ | ~ | $x$ | $\sim$ |
| Percentage of LAC Not Known (Michelle Wright) | Lower percentages | 7.2\% | 4.6\% | 1.8\% | 6.5\% | ~ | ~ | $\sim$ | $x$ | $\sim$ |
| Percentage of Care Leavers NEET <br> (Michelle Wright) | Lower percentages | 27.8\% | 43.8\% | 50.9\% | 43.4\% | $\sim$ | ~ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| Percentage of Care Leavers Not Known <br> (Michelle Wright) | Lower percentages | 10.6\% | 8.1\% | 3.0\% | 6.7\% | $\sim$ | ~ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |

## 3. Strategic Objective - Healthy and positive children and young people

Children and young people will be healthier, fitter, more emotionally resilient and better able to make mature decisions
7. Operational Objective - Promoting healthy living

Tackling childhood obesity, improving diets, improving oral health, cutting smoking rates

| NI 055a - Obesity among primary school age children in Reception Year (\% of children with height and weight recorded who are obese) <br> (Health - Sarah Diggle) | Lower percentages | 10.9\% | 10.9\% |  | Available Q3 | 11.8\% | $\begin{aligned} & 11.1 \% \\ & (2011 / 12) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.5 \% \\ (2011 / 12) \end{gathered}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NI 055b - Obesity among primary school age children in Reception Year (\% of children with height and weight recorded) (Health - Sarah Diggle) | Higher percentages | 90.9\% | 90.0\% | ~ | Available Q3 | 88.0\% | ~ | $\begin{gathered} 94.2 \% \\ (2011 / 12) \end{gathered}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NI 056a - Obesity among primary school age children in Year 6 (\% of children with height and weight recorded who are obese) (Health - Sarah Diggle) | Lower percentages | 22.0\% | 22.9\% | $\sim$ | 22.6\% | 19.5\% | $\begin{aligned} & 20.5 \% \\ & (2011 / 12) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.2 \% \\ (2011 / 12) \end{gathered}$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| NI 056b - Obesity among primary school age children in Year 6 (\% of children with height and weight recorded) <br> (Health - Sarah Diggle) | Higher percentages | 92.7\% | 91.70\% | ~ | Available Q3 | 88.0\% | ~ | $\begin{gathered} 92.4 \% \\ (2011 / 12) \end{gathered}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |


| Description | Good Performance | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Outturn } \\ & \text { 2011/12 } \end{aligned}$ | Outturn <br> 2012/13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1 YTD } \\ & \text { 2013/14 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q2 YTD } \\ & \text { 2013/14 } \end{aligned}$ | Target <br> 2013/14 | Statistical Neighbour | England | Better than / Equal to Previous Yea | Target Met / On Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CS23b - Percentage of schools achieving the Healthy Schools Status <br> (Chris Wallbanks) | Higher percentages | 84.0\% | 85.0\% | 85.0\% | 86.0\% | 85.0\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { CS23c - Percentage of schools } \\ \text { engaged in the Healthy Schools } \\ \text { 'Health \& Wellbeing Improvement' } \\ \text { model } \\ \text { (Chris Wallbanks) } \end{array}$ | Higher percentages | 41.3\% | 52.0\% | $\sim$ | 54.0\% | 52.0\% | ~ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## 8. Operational Objective - Reducing teenage conceptions

Reducing the rate of teenage conceptions

| NI 112 - Under 18 conception rate (per 1,000) <br> (Chris Wallbanks) | Lower numbers | 54.3 | 49.7 | 45.5 | 42.6 | 52.6 | 42.2 | 29.3 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New measure <br> Chlamydia diagnosis rate per 100,000 population aged 15-24 years (measured through the Public Health Outcomes <br> Framework) <br> (Ellyn Dryden) | Lower numbers | ~ | 3,348 | 3,254 | ~ | 2,300 | $\begin{gathered} 2,120 \\ \text { (East Midlands) } \end{gathered}$ | 1,821 | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| New measure Percentage of 15-24 year olds testing positive for Chlamydia (Ellyn Dryden) | Lower percentages | 7.8\% | 9.0\% | 9.7\% | ~ | ~ | $\begin{gathered} 8.3 \% \\ \text { (East Midlands) } \end{gathered}$ | 8.1\% | $x$ | $\sim$ |
| New measure <br> Percentage of Nottingham City residetns aged 15-24 in contact with Nottingham University Hospitals Contraception \& Sexual Health (CASH) services who take up the offer of a Chlamydia screen <br> (Ellyn Dryden) | Higher percentages | ~ | 34.3\% | 33.9\% | 33.8\% | 44.0\% | ~ | ~ | $x$ | $x$ |

## 9. Operational Objective - Reducing substance misuse

## Reducing substance misuse and its impact on children and young people

| Drugs offences committed by under 18's (Police) | Lower numbers <br> (12 month rolling total) | 142 | 129 | 42 | 62 | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | ~ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of new presentations to young people's specialist drug and alcohol treatment (Samantha Ireland) | Higher numbers (cumulative totals) | 211 | ~ | ~ | 206 | ~ | $\sim$ | 14311 | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| Percentage of new alcohol presentations to young people's specialist drug and alcohol treatment (Samantha Ireland) | Higher percentages | 17.2\% | 13.0\% | 15.0\% | ~ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | 28.6\% | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| Percentage of referrals from Children \& Family Services (Samantha Ireland) | Higher percentages | 43.0\% | 14.0\% | 19.0\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | 36.0\% | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| CSS162 - Percentage of young people leaving treatment in an agreed and planned way (Samantha Ireland) | Higher percentages | 75.0\% | 76.0\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | ~ | ~ | 77.0\% | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |

## 10. Operational Objective - Strengthening positive behaviour

Diverting children and young people from anti-social and offending behaviour (including homophobic and other forms of bullying) and promoting socially responsible behaviour)

| Rate of proven re-offending by young offenders <br> (Ken Beaumont) | Lower numbers <br> (Comparable frequency of re offending over 12 months in previous years) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.88 | To reduce | $\begin{gathered} 1.20 \\ \text { (2010 PNC data) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 1.02 \\ \text { (2010 PNC data) } \end{array}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of 10-17 year olds who are sentenced to custody (Ken Beaumont) | Lower percentages | ~ | 8.9\% | 6.1\% | 9.6\% | 5.0\% | ~ | ~ | $x$ | $x$ |
| Increase the number of young people supervised by YOT in fulltime education, training or employment (Ken Beaumont) | Higher percentages | 71.5\% | 68.8\% | 68.6\% | 63.1\% | 90.0\% | $\begin{gathered} 67.9 \% \\ \text { (Q3 2011/12) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72.2 \% \\ \text { (Q3 2011/12) } \end{gathered}$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| Percentage of School Age young people supervised by the YOT in full-time ETE (relates to NI 045) (Ken Beaumont) | Higher percentages | 86.0\% | 85.7\% | 81.8\% | 73.5\% | ~ | $\begin{gathered} 72.3 \% \\ \text { (Q2 2011-12) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69.7 \% \\ \text { (Q2 2011/12) } \end{gathered}$ | $x$ | $\sim$ |
| Percentage of Above School Age young people supervised by the YOT in full-time ETE (relates to NI 045) (Ken Beaumont) | Higher percentages | 59.5\% | 58.6\% | 58.6\% | 51.6\% | ~ | $\begin{gathered} 61.1 \% \\ \text { (Q2 2011-12) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58.4 \% \\ \text { (Q2 2011/12) } \end{gathered}$ | $x$ | $\sim$ |
| NI 111 First time entrants to the | Lower numbers | 394 | 240 | 82 | 140 | 210 | ~ | ~ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| (Ken Beaumont) | quarter) | (1753) | (941) | (321) | (549) | (823) | $\begin{gathered} (900) \\ \text { Apr-Sept } 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (593) \\ \text { Apr-Sept } 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Burglary offences committed by under 18's (Police) | Lower numbers (12 month rolling total) | 224 | 66 | 12 | 20 | $\sim$ | ~ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| Criminal Damage offences committed by under 18's (Police) | Lower numbers <br> (12 month rolling total) | 228 | 262 | 54 | 95 | ~ | $\sim$ | ~ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |


| Description | Good Performance | Outturn <br> 2011/12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Outturn } \\ & \text { 2012/13 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1 YTD } \\ & \text { 2013/14 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q2 YTD } \\ & \text { 2013/14 } \end{aligned}$ | Target 2013/14 | Statistical Neighbour | England | Better than / Equal to Previous Yea | Target Met/ On Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fraud \& Forgery offences committed by under 18's (Police) | Lower numbers (12 month rolling total) | 7 | 11 | 2 | 6 | ~ | $\sim$ | ~ | $x$ | $\sim$ |
| Other offences committed by under 18's <br> (Police) | Lower numbers (12 month rolling total) | 38 | 26 | 8 | 20 | ~ | ~ | ~ | $x$ | $\sim$ |
| Robbery offences committed by under 18's <br> (Police) | Lower numbers (12 month rolling total) | 101 | 59 | 13 | 25 | ~ | ~ | ~ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| Sexual offences committed by under 18's <br> (Police) | Lower numbers (12 month rolling total) | 24 | 11 | 0 | 4 | ~ | ~ | ~ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| Theft offences committed by under 18's (Police) | Lower numbers (12 month rolling total) | 689 | 451 | 140 | 237 | ~ | ~ | $\sim$ | $x$ | $\sim$ |
| Violence offences committed by under 18's (Police) | Lower numbers (12 month rolling total) | 512 | 630 | 151 | 257 | $\sim$ | ~ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |

## 4. Strategic Objective - Achievement <br> All children and young people will leave school with the best skills and qualifications they can achieve and will be ready for work or further learning

11. Operational Objective - Engaging learners better

Improving school attendance and improving engagement and progression from age 16 in education, emplyoment and training

| NI 117-16-18 years old City resident young people who are NEET (Michelle Wright) | Lower percentages | 5.2\% | 6.2\% | 6.8\% | 5.2\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lower numbers | 387 | 622 | 697 | 494 | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| Percentage/Number of NEET Not Knowns (Michelle Wright) | Lower percentages | 6.6\% | 5.4\% | 4.3\% | 2.5\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
|  | Lower numbers | 532 | 615 | 584 | 258 | $\sim$ | ~ | ~ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| NI 087 - State Funded Secondary School Persistent Absence rates (including Academies) - 20\% Threshold (Mark Andrews) | Lower percentages | 6.7\% | $\begin{aligned} & 10.3 \% \\ & \text { (Full Year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.42 \% \\ \text { (Autumn \& } \\ \text { Spring 12/13) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.2 \% \\ \text { (Autumn \& } \\ \text { Spring 12/13) } \end{gathered}$ | 8.3\% | $\begin{gathered} 8.3 \% \\ \text { (Full Year 11/12) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.7 \% \\ \text { (Autumn 12/13) } \end{gathered}$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| CS 024 - State Funded Secondary School Overall Absence rates (including Academies) <br> (Mark Andrews) | Lower percentages | 8.0\% | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \% \\ & \text { (Full Year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.15 \% \\ (3 \text { terms to } \\ \text { Autumn 2012) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.74 \% \\ \text { (3 terms to } \\ \text { Spring 2013) } \end{gathered}$ | 6.2\% | $\begin{gathered} 5.9 \% \\ \text { (Autumn 12/13) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.7 \% \\ \text { (Autumn 12/13) } \end{gathered}$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { CS } 025 \text { - Primary school } \\ \text { persistent absence rate - } 20 \% \\ \text { Threshold } \\ \text { (Mark Andrews) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Lower percentages | 4.5\% | $\begin{aligned} & 4.9 \% \\ & \text { (Full Year) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.92 \% \\ \text { (Autumn \& } \\ \text { Spring 12/13) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.6 \% \\ \text { (Autumn \& } \\ \text { Spring 12/13) } \end{gathered}$ | 4.0\% | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \% \\ \text { (Full Year 11/12) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.3 \% \\ \text { (Autumn 12/13) } \end{gathered}$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| CS 026 - Primary school overall absence rate (Mark Andrews) | Lower percentages | 6.4\% | $\begin{aligned} & 5.0 \% \\ & \text { (Full Year) } \end{aligned}$ | $5.34 \%$ $(3$ terms to (aymn 2012) <br> Autumn 2012) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.36 \% \\ \text { (3 terms to } \\ \text { Spring 2013) } \end{gathered}$ | 4.75\% | $\begin{gathered} 5.1 \% \\ \text { (Autumn 12/13) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \% \\ \text { (Autumn 12/13) } \end{gathered}$ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |

12. Operational Objective - Improving attainment Improving educational attainment and skills

| New measure Achievement at level 4+ in all of Reading, Writing and Maths at KS2 <br> (Nick Lee) | Higher percentages | ~ | $\sim$ | ~ | 72.0\% | ~ | $\sim$ | 76.0\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NI 075 - Achievement of 5 or more $\mathrm{A}^{*}-\mathrm{C}$ grades at GCSE or equivalent including English and Maths (Nick Lee) | Higher percentages | 46.7\% | 49.6\% | ~ | 51.0\% | 53.0\% | ~ | 58.6\% | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| NI 089 - Number of schools judged as requiring special measures (Nick Lee) | Lower numbers | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | ~ | ~ | $\checkmark$ | $x$ |
| New measure Pupils making expected progress in Reading from KS1 to KS2 (Nick Lee) | Higher percentages | ~ | ~ | ~ | 87.0\% | ~ | ~ | 88.0\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| New measure <br> Pupils making expected progress in Writing from KS1 to KS2 (Nick Lee) | Higher percentages | ~ | ~ | ~ | 91.0\% | ~ | ~ | 90.0\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NI 094 - Progression by 2 levels in Maths between KS1 and KS2 (Nick Lee) | Higher percentages | 84.0\% | 85.0\% | ~ | 88.0\% | ~ | ~ | 88.0\% | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| NI 114 - Rate of permanent exclusions from school (per 100 pupils) <br> (Mirth Parker) | Lower percentages | 0.02\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0.04\% } \\ & \text { (Autumn term } \\ & \text { 2012) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.02 \% \\ & \text { (Spring term } \\ & \text { 2013) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.04\% <br> (Provisional (Summer term 2013) | ~ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| CS 015-\% of eligible pupils in LA maintained schools who attain at least one qualification at GCSE or equivalent (Nick Lee) | Higher percentages | 98.2\% | 98.7\% | ~ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2012/13 } \\ \text { available Q3 } \end{gathered}$ | 98.0\% | ~ | 99.2\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| Educational settings inspected by Ofsted based on latest inspection reports (Nick Lee) | Percentage 'Good or Better' | 68.0\% | 69.0\% | 70.0\% | 69.4\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\begin{gathered} 69.2 \% \\ \text { June } 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
|  | Percentage 'Requiring Improvement' | 27.0\% | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 23.6\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.1 \% \\ \text { June } 12 \end{gathered}$ | $x$ | $\sim$ |
|  | Percentage 'Inadequate' | 4.0\% | 6.0\% | 5.0\% | 6.9\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \% \\ \text { June } 12 \end{gathered}$ | $x$ | $\sim$ |


| Description | Good Performance | Outturn 2011/12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Outturn } \\ & \text { 2012/13 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1 YTD } \\ & \text { 2013/14 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q2 YTD } \\ & \text { 2013/14 } \end{aligned}$ | Target 2013/14 | Statistical Neighbour | England | Better than / Equal to Previous Year | Target Met / On Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13. Operational Objective - Closing the gap <br> Closing the gap in attainment and skills between disadvantaged groups and their peers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NI 102a - Achievement gap between pupils eligible for free school meals and their peers achieving the expected level at KS2 (Nick Lee) | Lower percentages | 12.0\% | 13.0\% | ~ | 13.2\% | $\sim$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.9 \% \\ \text { (2010/11-LAIT) } \end{gathered}$ | 17.3\% | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| NI 102b - Achievement gap between pupils eligible for free school meals and their peers achieving the expected level at KS4 <br> (Nick Lee) | Lower percentages | 26.0\% | 27.4\% | $\sim$ | 21.6\% | $\sim$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.6 \% \\ (2010 / 11-\text { LAIT }) \end{gathered}$ | 26.3\% | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| NI 104 - The Special Educational Needs (SEN)/non-SEN gap achieving KS2 English and Maths threshold (Mirth Parker) | Lower percentages | 42.0\% | 45.0\% | $\sim$ | 44.9\% | ~ | $\begin{gathered} 50.0 \% \\ (2011 / 12) \\ \text { (East Mids) } \end{gathered}$ | 53.0\% | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| NI 105 - The Special Educational Needs (SEN)/non-SEN gap achieving $5 \mathrm{~A}^{*}$-C GCSE inc. English and Maths (Mirth Parker) | Lower percentages | 46.6\% | 47.0\% | $\sim$ | 38.9\% | $\sim$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48.0 \% \\ & (2011 / 12) \\ & \text { East Mids) } \end{aligned}$ | 47.0\% | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| 14. Operational Objective - 14-19 reform Preparing for significant changes for this age group, with a new 14-19 strategy and the raising of school leaving age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NI 079 - Achievement of a Level 2 qualification by the age of 19 (Development) | Higher percentages | 71.7\% | 74.3\% | ~ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | 85.1\% | $\begin{aligned} & 83.8 \% \\ & (2010 / 11) \end{aligned}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NI 080 - Achievement of a Level 3 qualification by the age of 19 (Development) | Higher percentages | 43.2\% | 43.3\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | 57.9\% | $\begin{gathered} 56.7 \% \\ (2010 / 11) \end{gathered}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NI 081 - Inequality gap in the achievement of a Level 3 qualification by the age of 19 (Attainment figure shown) (Development) | Lower percentages | 24.0\% | 25.0\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.0 \% \\ & (2010 / 11) \end{aligned}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NI 082 - Inequality gap in the achievement of a Level 2 qualification by the age of 19 (Attainment figure shown) (Development) | Higher percentages <br> (This measures the \% who were on FSM) | 57.0\% | 58.0\% | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | $\begin{aligned} & 65.0 \% \\ & (2010 / 11) \end{aligned}$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| 5. Strategic Objective - Economic well-being Child poverty will be significantly reduced |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

15. Operational Objective - Tackling child poverty

Tackling worklessness and supporting adults to gain Level 2 skills or higher so they are able to progress in work and earn more

| NI 151 - Overall employment rate (working age) (Development) | Higher percentages | 56.8\% | 61.3\% | 59.7\% | 59.1\% | 62.0\% | $\sim$ | 70.7\% | $x$ | $x$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NI 152 - Working age people on out of work benefits (Development) | Lower percentages | 15.8\% | 17.3\% | ~ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | ~ | 11.6\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NI 163 - Working age population qualified to Level 2 or higher (Development) | Higher percentages | 61.4\% | 66.7\% | 71.6\% | ~ | 72.0\% | ~ | 69.5\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| NI 164 - Working age population qualified to Level 3 or higher (Development) | Higher percentages | 47.0\% | 49.7\% | $\sim$ | ~ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | 52.4\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| NI 165 - Working age population qualified to Level 4 or higher (Development) | Higher percentages | 25.4\% | 29.1\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | ~ | 32.7\% | $\sim$ | $\sim$ |
| 18 to 24 year old JSA claimants (Job Centre Plus) | Lower numbers | 4550 | 3960 | $\sim$ | 3405 | $\sim$ | ~ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| Lone Parent Income Support claimants (Job Centre Plus) | Lower numbers $\text { Q2 = Feb } 2013$ | 5215 | 4970 | ~ | 4535 | ~ | ~ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |
| All JSA claimants (Job Centre Plus) | Lower numbers | 14677 | 14331 | ~ | 12152 | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ |

# Children's Partnership Board Q2 2013/14 Review 

27 November 2013

## Q2 2013/14

1. Key measures within Safeguarding services show positive performance
2. Family Support Strategy and Pathway continues to be implemented
3. Teenage Pregnancy rates continue to fall and ahead of 2020 target
4. Notable reductions in youth related crime
5. Educational attainment continues to rise across key stages
6. NEET levels better than previous year

## Q2 2013/14 Challenges

1. High demand for Social Care and Safeguarding services / High numbers of Children in Care / Timeliness of CiC being placed for adoption / New inspection framework
2. Above average proportion of CYP with unhealthy weight
3. Partnership buy-in to CAF
4. School absence figures for primary and secondary ranked amongst the worst in the country
5. The Local Authority's ability to influence schools under the current educational system much diminished
